

## Topic: Probability Measures

Lecturer: Jim Pitman, Scribe: Sivakumar Rathinam, Editor: Xixi Wang

## 1 Prerequisites

Basic measure theory,  $\sigma$ -fields, Lebesgue measure

## 2 Summary

This part takes concepts from measure theory to define probability measure and probability space. Then the Identification Lemma for Probability is introduced to judge two probability measures are equal.

## 3 Probability Space

**Definition 1** A set function <sup>1</sup>  $\mathbb{P}$  on a  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}$  is a probability measure if it satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $0 \leq \mathbb{P}(A) \leq 1$  for  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .
2.  $\mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0, \mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$ .
3. If  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  is a countable sequence of disjoint sets, then  $\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_i A_i) = \sum_i \mathbb{P}(A_i)$ .

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field, then the triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is called a probability measure space or simply a *probability space*. The countable additivity of the probability measure gives rise to the following properties that are stated in a theorem.

**Theorem 2** Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a probability measure on a field  $\mathcal{F}$ .

1. *Continuity from below:* If  $A_n$  and  $A$  lie in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $A_n \uparrow A$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(A_n) \uparrow \mathbb{P}(A)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup> A set function is a real-valued function defined on some class of subsets of  $\Omega$ .

2. Continuity from above: If  $A_n$  and  $A$  lie in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $A_n \downarrow A$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(A_n) \downarrow \mathbb{P}(A)$ .
3. Countable subadditivity: If  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  and  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$  lie in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_k). \quad (1)$$

**Example 3** Let  $\mathcal{R} =$  the Borel sets = the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing the open sets. The probability space on a unit interval is then defined as  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , where  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \{A \cap (0, 1) : A \in \mathcal{R}\}$  and  $\mathbb{P}(B) = \lambda(B)$  for  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ . Here  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure restricted to the Borel subsets of  $(0, 1)$ .

## 4 Identification Lemma for Probabilities

Now we will present a key result that would help us to extend the results we have on a field  $\mathcal{A}$  to the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ . This is stated as the *Identification Lemma for Probabilities*:

**Lemma 4 (Identification Lemma for Probabilities)** Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two probability measures on  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under intersections. If  $P(A) = Q(A)$  for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $P(A) = Q(A)$  for all  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ .

Before we prove this lemma, let's state some basic tools from measure theory that are very useful.

**Definition 5** A collection of subsets  $\mathcal{D}$  of set  $\Omega$  is called a  $\lambda$ -system if

1.  $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}$
2. If  $A \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $A \subset B \Rightarrow B - A \in \mathcal{D}$
3. If  $A_n \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $A_n \uparrow A \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{D}$

**Theorem 6 (Dynkin's  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  Theorem)** Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a collection of sets closed under  $\cap$  (a  $\pi$ -system). If  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system with  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}$ , then  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{D}$ .

With this theorem let's try to prove the identification lemma on probabilities.

**Proof:** (of Lemma ??) Consider  $\mathcal{D} = \{A \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) : P(A) = Q(A)\}$ . Let's check that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system.

1.  $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}$  because  $P(\Omega) = Q(\Omega) = 1$ .
2. Let  $A \in \mathcal{D}, B \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then  $A \subset B$  implies  $B - A \in \mathcal{D}$ . This is because  $P(B) = Q(B) \Rightarrow P(B-A) + P(A) = Q(B-A) + Q(A) \Rightarrow P(B-A) = Q(B-A)$ .
3.  $A_n \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $A_n \uparrow A$  implies  $A \in \mathcal{D}$ . This is because  $P(A_n) = Q(A_n) \Rightarrow P(A) = Q(A)$  using theorem ??.

Now directly applying Dynkin's  $\pi - \lambda$  Theorem, we get  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}$  implies  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{D}$ . ■

## 5 References

Durrett, Section 1.1